Mechanism and Geometry: A Conceptual Argument

Author: Minh Malcolm Hai Nguyen

Purpose

This document presents a conceptual argument for a speculative research hypothesis. It is not an established result in physics, but a proposal intended for further mathematical and experimental development.

Central Argument

A common criticism of comparing gravity with branching natural systems is that visual similarity does not necessarily imply a shared physical mechanism. This hypothesis argues that the objection may be incomplete.

The mechanism responsible for a physical process produces its observable geometry. Therefore, recurring geometric organization across many natural systems may contain information about deeper organizing principles.

Examples include rivers, streams, lightning, tree branching, vascular networks, and other hierarchical systems. Although current science explains these systems using different dominant forces, they often develop recursively organized, branching structures.

The hypothesis proposes that geometry should be treated as evidence worthy of investigation rather than dismissed as coincidence. If similar recursive geometry repeatedly emerges across scales, there may exist shared mathematical principles governing how complex systems organize.

Research Question

Can a single recursive mathematical framework describe the emergence of branching geometry in multiple physical systems while respecting their different underlying forces?

Scientific Status

This is a conceptual research proposal. Demonstrating its validity would require: Precise mathematical definitions. Quantitative predictions. Comparison with existing theories. Experimental or observational validation. The value of the hypothesis lies in generating testable questions rather than assuming the conclusion is already established.